IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
v.) CRIM. CASE NO. 1:22-cr-215-ECM
MARICRUZ VAZOUEZ)

MEMORANDUM OPINION and ORDER

On April 7, 2023, the Court held a status conference in this case. At that time, the parties made a joint oral motion to continue trial. Jury selection and trial are presently set on the term of court commencing on April 17, 2023. For the reasons set forth below, the court will grant a continuance of the trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

While the trial judge enjoys great discretion when determining whether to grant a continuance, the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161; *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986). The Act provides in part:

In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs.

18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1).

The Act excludes, however, certain delays from the seventy-day period, including delays based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). In determining whether to grant a continuance under § 3161(h)(7), the court "shall consider,"

Case 1:22-cr-00215-ECM-SMD Document 237 Filed 04/11/23 Page 2 of 2

among other factors, whether denial of a continuance would likely "result in a miscarriage of

justice," or "would deny counsel for the defendant . . . the reasonable time necessary for

effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i),

(iv).

Counsel for the Defendant represents to the Court that he has been unable to

communicate with the Defendant and additional time is necessary to prepare for trial. The

United States does not oppose a continuance. After careful consideration, the Court finds

that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance of this trial outweigh the best interest

of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Thus, for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motion to continue is GRANTED, and jury selection and trial are

CONTINUED GENERALLY pending further order of the Court.

Done this 11th day of April, 2023.

/s/Emily C. Marks

EMILY C. MARKS

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE